

On the Approximability of the Hilbert Transform

Holger Boche Volker Pohl

Technische Universität München Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Chair of Theoretical Information Technology

IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory June 22, 2018 – Vail, CO, USA



Introduction – The Hilbert Transform

▷ We consider continuous functions *f* on $\mathbb{T} = [-\pi, \pi]$ with $f(-\pi) = f(\pi)$

▷ Assume *f* can be represented by its Fourier series

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n(t) e^{int}$$
 with Fourier coefficients

$$(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\tau) e^{-in\tau} d\tau$$

Cn

 \triangleright Its harmonic *conjugate* \tilde{f} is given by

$$\widetilde{f}(t) = (\mathrm{H}f)(t) = -\mathrm{i}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{sgn}(n) c_n(f) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}nt}$$
 with $\mathrm{sgn}(n) = \begin{cases} -1 : n < 0 \\ 0 : n = 0 \\ 1 : n > 0 \end{cases}$

such that

$$f(t) + \mathrm{i}\widetilde{f}(t) = c_0(f) + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(f) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}nt}$$

▷ The transformation H : $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ is known as Hilbert transform.

$$\widetilde{f}(t) = (\mathrm{H}f)(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\varepsilon \le |t-\tau| \le \pi} \frac{f(\tau)}{\tan([t-\tau]/2)} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \,, \qquad t \in [-\pi,\pi) \,. \tag{HT}$$

1

Hilbert Transform – Importance and Properties

- \triangleright In physics H is known as Kramers–Kronig relation.
- \triangleright It is related to causality:
 - The real and imaginary part of a causal signal is related by the Hilbert transform.
 - The phase of a causal signal is determined by its amplitude phase retrieval.
 - Prediction and estimation of stationary time series spectral factorization.
- Analytic tool in information theory
 - Broadband quantum channels¹ here the Hilbert transform defines the complex structure of the quantum mechanical system corresponding to the quantum Gaussian channel.
 - Hilbert transform techniques were used in the elementary solution of the Kadison–Singer problem²

Properties

- Hilbert transform is bounded mapping $H: L^{p}(\mathbb{T}) \to L^{p}(\mathbb{T}), 1 .$
- The Hilbert transform is a bounded mapping $H: L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}) \to BMO$.
- $H: \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$ is not bounded but $H: \mathscr{C}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$ is bounded
- For $f \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$, we have $\tilde{f} = Hf \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ but $\tilde{f} = Hf \notin \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$, in general.

¹A. S. Holevo, "The classical capacity of quantum Gaussian gauge-covariant channels: Beyond i.i.d," *IEEE Inf. Theory Soc. Newsletter*, vol. 66, no. 4, Dec. 2016.

²D. A. Singer, "The solution of the Kadison–Singer Problem,"*Minerva Lectures*, Princeton University, March 2016. Volker Pohl (TUM) | On the Approximability of the Hilbert Transform | ISIT 2018



ПП

Example – Causal Linear Systems

 \triangleright Input-output relation of a linear system S

$$y_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x_{n-k} , \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

 $ightarrow c_k = 0$ for all $k < 0 \Rightarrow$ causal system

Input sequence: $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\subset\mathbb{C}$ Output sequence: $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\subset\mathbb{C}$ Impulse response of S: $\{c_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\subset\mathbb{C}$

▷ Take discrete-time Fourier transform (DTFT) of the input-output relation yields

$$\mathcal{L}(\omega) = \mathcal{C}(\omega) \, \mathcal{X}(\omega) \,, \qquad \omega \in [-\pi,\pi) \,.$$

with the *transfer function* $C(\omega)$ of S

$$C(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k e^{ik\omega} = \Re [C(\omega)] + i\Im [C(\omega)] = |C(\omega)| e^{i \arg [C(\omega)]}$$

 \triangleright Because *S* is causal, we have

 $\Im [C(\omega)] = H(\Re [C(\omega)])$ and $\arg [C(\omega)] = H(\log |C(\omega)|)$.

- So $C(\omega)$ is already uniquely determined by its real part $\Re[C(\omega)]$ or by its amplitude $|C(\omega)|$.

- The corresponding imaginary part or phase can be calculated using the Hilbert transform.

Problem Statement

Given a subset $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$ of continuous functions on \mathbb{T}

> Does there exists an algorithm which is able to calculate the Hilbert transform

$$\widetilde{f}(t) = (\mathrm{H}f)(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\substack{\varepsilon \le |t-\tau| \le \pi}} \frac{f(\tau)}{\tan([t-\tau]/2)} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \,, \qquad t \in [-\pi,\pi) \,. \tag{HT}$$

on a digital computer for every $f \in \mathscr{B}$?

- ▷ Is it possible to characterize subspaces 𝔅 for which such algorithm do exist and for which they do not exist?
- digital computer ⇒ the calculation of (Hf)(t) is based on only finitely many samples {f(t_n)}^N_{n=1} of the given function f at a certain sampling set T_N = {t_n}^N_{n=1} ⊂ T.
- Then only an approximation $H_N : \{f(t_n)\}_{n=1}^N \mapsto \tilde{f}_N$ of the Hilbert transform $\tilde{f} = Hf$ can be determined.
- Problem: Design a sequence $\{H_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ of operators H_N (each H_N is concentrated on T_N) such that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \mathrm{H}_N f - \mathrm{H} f \right\|_{\infty} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \max_{t\in [-\pi,\pi)} \left| (\mathrm{H}_N f)(t) - (\mathrm{H} f)(t) \right| = 0 \qquad \text{for all } f\in\mathscr{B} \ .$$



Outline of the Paper

- 1. We introduce a scale of Banach space $\{\mathscr{B}_{\beta}\}_{\beta>0}$ of continuous functions of finite energy.
 - These are "good" for the Hilbert transform.
 - The parameter $\beta \ge 0$ characterizes the energy concentration of the signals.
- 2. We introduce a class of sampling based Hilbert transform approximations $\{H_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$.
 - This class is characterizes by three simple axioms.
 - This class contains basically all practically relevant Hilbert transform approximation methods.
- 3. Divergence results for the spaces \mathscr{B}_{β} with $\beta \leq 1$.
 - For these spaces, there exists no Hilbert transform approximation in our class.
- 4. Convergence results for spaces \mathscr{B}_{β} with $\beta > 1$.
 - For these spaces, there always exist a Hilbert transform approximation in our class.
 - Simple examples of convergent methods can be found.



A Family of Sobolev-like Signal Spaces of Finite Energy



Signal Spaces of Finite Energy

 \triangleright Let $f \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$ with *Fourier series* representation

$$f(t) = \frac{a_0(f)}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(f) \cos(nt) + b_n(f) \sin(nt)$$
 with

 $a_n(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\tau) \cos(n\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau$ $b_n(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\tau) \sin(n\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau$

 $\,\triangleright\,$ For any $eta\geq$ 0 we define a seminorm on $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$

$$||f||_{\beta} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(1 + \log n)^{\beta} \left[|a_n(f)|^2 + |b_n(f)|^2\right]\right)^{1/2}$$

 \triangleright Therewith, we define a family of Sobolev-like Banach spaces $\{\mathscr{B}_{\beta}\}_{\beta>0}$ by

$$\mathscr{B}_{eta} = \left\{ f \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T}) \ : \ \widetilde{f} \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T}) \ \text{and} \ \|f\|_{eta} < \infty
ight\}$$

and equip it with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}_{\beta}} = \max(\|f\|_{\infty}, \|\widetilde{f}\|_{\infty}, \|f\|_{\beta}).$$

Remarks

• $\beta \ge 0$ characterizes the smoothness of the functions $f \in \mathscr{B}_{\beta}$: As larger β as smoother f.

$$\mathscr{B}_{\beta'} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}_{\beta} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T}) \qquad \text{for all} \qquad \beta' \geq \beta \geq 0 \;.$$

- $\|\cdot\|_0$ corresponds to the norm in Sobolev space $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{T})=W^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T})$
- $||f||_0$ is the (Dirichlet) energy of *f*.
- The Hilbert transform is well defined and bounded on \mathscr{B}_{β} : $\|H\|_{\mathscr{B}_{\beta} \to \mathscr{B}_{\beta}} = 1$.





Spaces of Smooth Functions: Motivation

For sufficiently smooth functions, there are standard procedures to obtain the desired sequences $\{H_N\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Hilbert transform approximations:

- ▷ Assume *f* belongs to a Sobolev space $H^{s}(\mathbb{T}) = W^{s,\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})$ with s > 1/2.
- ▷ Sobolev embedding shows that *f* is Hölder continuous of index $0 < \alpha < s 1/2$, i.e. $f \in \mathscr{C}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$.
- ▷ Assume $\mathscr{T}_N = \{t_1, \ldots, t_N\}$ is a sampling set with mesh size $r_N = \min_{n \neq m} |t_n t_m|$.
- > There is a unique interpolating function f_N which is continuous, piecewise linear, and which satisfies

$$f_{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda_n) = f(\lambda_n)$$
 for all $\lambda_n \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{N}}$.

 $\,\triangleright\,$ Since $f\in \mathscr{C}^lpha(\mathbb{T})$ it follows that for all 0<lpha'<lpha

$$\|f-f_N\|_{\mathscr{C}^{lpha'}(\mathbb{T})} o 0$$
 as $r_N o 0$.

▷ Since it is known that $H : \mathscr{C}^{\alpha'}(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$ is bounded, we set $\tilde{f}_N = Hf_N$ and obtain

$$\left\| \widetilde{f}_N - \widetilde{f} \right\|_{\infty} = \left\| \mathrm{H}(f_N - f) \right\|_{\infty} \le \left\| \mathrm{H} \right\| \left\| f - f_N \right\|_{\mathscr{C}^{\alpha'}(\mathbb{T})} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } r_N \to 0 \;.$$

Remark:

- Procedure fails for $s \le 1/2$ because Sobolev embedding yields no longer Hölder continuity.
- Is this failure a particular property of the above procedure?



Relation to the Dirichlet Problem

Dirichlet Problem on the Unit Circle

Let *f* be a given function on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. We look for an *u* inside the unit circle $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ such that

1.
$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(z) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}(z) = (\Delta u)(z) = 0$$
 for all $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{D}$
2. $u(e^{it}) = f(e^{it})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T} = [-\pi, \pi]$

Dirichlet's Principle

The solution of the Dirichlet problem can be obtained by minimizing the Dirichlet energy

$$D(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left\| (\operatorname{grad} u)(z) \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}z = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} |n| |c_n(f)|^2 = \left\| f \right\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$$



- The boundary function of solutions of the Dirichlet problem belongs to the Sobolev space $H^{1/2}$.
- If *f* is additionally in \mathscr{B} then $f \in \mathscr{B}_0$.



Axiomatic for Hilbert Transform Approximations



Hilbert Transform Approximations – Axiomatic

Definition: Let $\beta \ge 1$ be arbitrary and let $H = \{H_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of mappings $H_N : \mathscr{B}_\beta \to \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$ with the associated functionals $\Phi_N(f) = \|H_N(f)\|_{\infty}$. We say that H satisfies Axiom

(A) if Φ_N is lower semicontinuous for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and if for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a finite subset $T_N \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that for arbitrary $f_1, f_2 \in \mathscr{B}_\beta$

 $f_1(t_n) = f_2(t_n)$ for all $t_n \in T_N$ implies $(H_N f_1)(t) = (H_N f_2)(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

(B) if there exists a dense subset $\mathscr{M} \subset \mathscr{B}_{\beta}$ such that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left\| \operatorname{H}_N(f) - \operatorname{H} f \right\|_{\infty} = 0 \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathscr{M} \;.$$

Remarks

- ▷ Axiom (A) requires that the approximation $\tilde{f}_N = H_N(f)$ is uniquely determined by the values of *f* on the finite sampling set $T_N \subset \mathbb{T}$. So (A) ensures that $H_N(f)$ is computable on a digital computer.
- ▷ Axiom (B) describes $\{H_N\}$ as a sequence which approximates the Hilbert transform, namely it requires that $H_N(f)$ converges to Hf at least for all f from a dense subset of \mathscr{B}_β .
- \triangleright The operators H_N may be non-linear.
- \triangleright The dense set \mathscr{M} in Axiom (B) needs not to have a linear structure.



Example – Sampled Fourier series

 \triangleright Let $f \in \mathscr{B}_{\beta}$ arbitrary with Fourier series representation

$$f(t) = \frac{a_0(f)}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(f) \cos(nt) + b_n(f) \sin(nt) , \qquad t \in \mathbb{T}$$

and with the Fourier coefficients

$$a_n(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\tau) \cos(n\tau) d\tau \quad \text{and} \quad b_n(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\tau) \sin(n\tau) d\tau .$$
 (1)

Then its conjugate function $\tilde{f} = Hf$ is given by

$$\widetilde{f}(t) = (\mathrm{H}f)(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(f)\sin(nt) - b_n(f)\cos(nt), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}$$

 \triangleright We define approximation operators $H_N : \mathscr{B}_\beta \to \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$ as follows

- For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the uniform sampling set

$$T_N = \left\{ t_{N,k} = \frac{k-N}{N} \pi : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2N - 1 \right\} .$$

- For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we approximate the integrals in (1) by its Riemann sums

 $a_{N,n}(f) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} f(t_{N,k}) \cos(nt_{N,k})$ and $b_{N,n}(f) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} f(t_{N,k}) \sin(nt_{N,k})$

- Therewith, we define for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the approximation operators

$$(\mathrm{H}_N f)(t) := \sum_{n=1}^N a_{N,n}(f) \sin(nt) - b_{N,n}(f) \cos(nt) , \qquad t \in \mathbb{T} .$$



Example – Sampled Fourier series (cont.)

> Inserting the Fourier coefficients into the sum, a closed form representations is obtained

$$(\mathrm{H}_N f)(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} f(t_{N,k}) \mathscr{D}_N(t-t_{N,k}) \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathscr{D}_N(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sin(nt) \, .$$

Remarks

- $\{H_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies Axiom (A) each H_N is concentrated on the finite sampling set $T_N = \{t_{N,k}\}_{k=0}^{2N-1}$.
- $\{H_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies Axiom (B) $H_N f$ converges to Hf for all polynomials.
- All operators H_N are even continuous \Rightarrow the associated functionals are lower semicontinuous.
- Other linear approximation methods satisfying Axioms (A) and (B) are obtained by
 - using other summation methods (Fejér, Cesáro, ...)
 - using other numerical integration methods to approximate the exact Fourier coefficients.
- If the Fourier coefficients {a_n(f)}_{n∈ℕ} and {b_n(f)}_{n∈ℕ} are perfectly known, the above the partial conjugate Fourier (or Fejér) series would converge for all f ∈ ℬ_β
 - \Rightarrow Convergence problems are due to the sampling based form of the approximation operators.



Divergence Results for \mathscr{B}_{β} with $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$



Divergence of Sampling Based Approximations

Theorem

Let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ be arbitrary and let $\mathbf{H} = \{H_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of mappings $H_N : \mathscr{B}_\beta \to \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$ which satisfies Axioms (A) and (B). Then

$$\mathscr{R}_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{H}) = \left\{ f \in \mathscr{B}_{\beta} : \operatorname{lim} \operatorname{sup}_{N \to \infty} \left\| \operatorname{H}_{N}(f) \right\|_{\infty} = +\infty \right\}$$

is a residual set in \mathscr{B}_{β} .

Remarks

• In particular, there always exists an $f \in \mathscr{B}_{\beta}$ such that

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{im}\,sup}_{N\to\infty} \|\mathrm{H} t - \mathrm{H}_N(t)\|_{\mathscr{B}_\beta} = +\infty$$

No matter how we choose the sampling based (Axiom A) approximation operators $H = \{H_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ there always exist functions $f \in \mathscr{B}_\beta$ such that $H_N(f)$ diverges.

- This result includes even even non-linear approximation operators $H_N : \mathscr{B}_\beta \to \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$. Similar result for linear methods was already proven previously³
- Divergence occurs in particular on the Sobolev space $\mathscr{B}_0 = H^{1/2}(\mathbb{T}) = W^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T})$ of signals with finite Dirichlet energy, and even on some smoother subspaces \mathscr{B}_β with $\beta > 0$.

³H. Boche, V. Pohl, ISIT 2017.

Volker Pohl (TUM) | On the Approximability of the Hilbert Transform | ISIT 2018





Ingredients of the Proof

Interpolation Lemma:

Chair of Theoretical Information Technology Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Technische Universität München

Let $0 \le \beta \le 1$ be arbitrary and let $T = \{t_n\}_{n=1}^N \subset \mathbb{T}$ be a finite sampling set. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the following statement is true: To every $g \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$ there exists an $f \in \mathscr{B}_\beta$ such that

(a) $f(t_n) = g(t_n)$ for all $t_n \in T$ (b) $\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}_{\beta}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|g\|_{\infty}$.

- ▷ So the operators H_N can't distinguisch between $f \in \mathscr{B}_\beta$ and $f \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$.
- \triangleright Using that the Hilbert transform $H: \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$ is unbounded.

Generalized uniform boundedness principle:

Let \mathscr{B} be a Banach space and let Φ be a family of lower semicontinuous functionals on \mathscr{B}_{β} such that there exists a set $S \subset \mathscr{B}_{\beta}$ of second category so that

$$\sup_{arphi\in oldsymbol{\Phi}} arphi(f) = M(f) < +\infty \qquad ext{for all } f\in S \ .$$

Then there exist a constant $M_{\Phi} < \infty$, an $f_0 \in \mathscr{B}_{\beta}$, and a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathscr{B}_{\delta}(f_0, \mathscr{B}_{\beta}) = \left\{ f \in \mathscr{B}_{\beta} : \|f - f_0\|_{\mathscr{B}_{\beta}} < \delta \right\}$ always $\varphi(f) \le M_{\Phi}$ for all $\varphi \in \Phi$.

Necessary to include non-linear operators in our analysis.
Volker Pohl (TUM) | On the Approximability of the Hilbert Transform | ISIT 2018



Convergence Results for \mathscr{B}_{β} with $\beta > 1$



Spaces with Convergent Approximation Methods

Theorem

For any $\beta > 1$ there exit sequences $\{H_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ of bounded linear operators $H_N : \mathscr{B}_{\beta} \to \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})$ which satisfy Axioms (A) and (B) such that

 $\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \mathrm{H}_N f - \mathrm{H} f \right\|_{\infty} = 0 \qquad \text{for all } f \in \mathscr{B}_{\beta} \ .$

- ▷ If the energy of the signals is sufficiently concentrated then there always exist sampling based approximation methods which converges for all signals in the space \mathscr{B}_{β} with $\beta > 1$.
- ▷ There even exist linear approximation methods.
- ▷ Theorem can be proved by a constructing particular method, e.g. the sampled Fourier series considered at the beginning.

ПП

Characterization of Convergent Method

Theorem

Let $\beta > 1$ and let $\{H_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of bounded linear operators $H_N : \mathscr{B}_\beta \to \mathscr{B}_\beta$ such that 1. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ holds

 $\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \mathrm{H}_{N}[\cos(n\cdot)] - \sin(n\cdot) \right\|_{\infty} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \mathrm{H}_{N}[\sin(n\cdot)] + \cos(n\cdot) \right\|_{\infty} = 0 \; .$

2. There exists a constant C such that

$$\max\left(\left\|\mathrm{H}_{N}[\cos(n\cdot)]\right\|_{\infty}, \left\|\mathrm{H}_{N}[\sin(n\cdot)]\right\|_{\infty}
ight) \leq \mathcal{C} \qquad \textit{for all } N \in \mathbb{N} \;.$$

Then one has

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \mathrm{H}_N f - \mathrm{H} f \right\|_{\infty} = 0 \qquad \text{for all } f \in \mathscr{B}_{\beta} \ .$$

Thus, if an approximation method $\{H_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$

- ▷ converges for the sine- and cosine functions (i.e. for the pure frequencies), and
- ▷ if the approximations of the pure frequencies are uniformly bounded

then the method $H_N f$ converges to H f for all $f \in \mathscr{B}_\beta$ with $\beta > 1$.

Example: The sampled Fourier series considered at the beginning.



Conclusions and Outlook

 \triangleright We introduced a scale of Sobolev-like Banach spaces \mathscr{B}_{β} , $\beta \geq 0$ of functions

- which are continuous with a continuous conjugate
- with finite (Dirichlet) energy
- with different energy concentration, characterized by eta
- ▷ In the scale $\{\mathscr{B}_{\beta}\}_{\beta>0}$, we characterized precisely those spaces on which
 - there do not exist any sampling based Hilbert transform approximations: $\beta \in [0, 1]$
 - there do exist sampling based Hilbert transform approximations: $\beta > 1$.
- \triangleright For $\beta > 1$ even very simple approximations methods (sampled conjugate Fourier series) work.
- ▷ Based on our framework, one can show that there exists no Turing computable method to determine the Hilbert transform on the spaces \mathscr{B}_{β} with $0 \le \beta \le 1$.



Conclusions and Outlook

 \triangleright We introduced a scale of Sobolev-like Banach spaces \mathscr{B}_{β} , $\beta \geq 0$ of functions

- which are continuous with a continuous conjugate
- with finite (Dirichlet) energy
- with different energy concentration, characterized by eta
- ▷ In the scale $\{\mathscr{B}_{\beta}\}_{\beta>0}$, we characterized precisely those spaces on which
 - there do not exist any sampling based Hilbert transform approximations: $\beta \in [0, 1]$
 - there do exist sampling based Hilbert transform approximations: $\beta > 1$.
- \triangleright For $\beta > 1$ even very simple approximations methods (sampled conjugate Fourier series) work.
- ▷ Based on our framework, one can show that there exists no Turing computable method to determine the Hilbert transform on the spaces \mathscr{B}_{β} with $0 \le \beta \le 1$.

Thank You! – Questions?