Quantum limits of deep space optical communication

Konrad Banaszek, Ludwig Kunz, Marcin Jarzyna, Michał Jachura, Wojciech Zwoliński

Centre for Quantum Optical Technologies, University of Warsaw, Poland

k.banaszek@cent.uw.edu.pl

2018 Munich Workshop on Information Theory of Optical Fiber 6 December 2018





Republic of Poland



European Union European Regional Development Fund





Satellite optical communication



UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW

H. Hemmati, A. Biswas, and I. Djordjevic, Deep-Space Optical Communications: Future Perspectives and Applications, Proc. IEEE **99**, 2020 (2011)

Optical vs radio frequency communication

Benefits:

- Access to higher bandwidths
- Lower diffraction losses
- Reduced regulatory requirements

<u>Challenges:</u>

- Robustness against atmospheric conditions
- Wall-plug efficiency of onboard transceivers
- Pointing and tracking
- Antenna surface quality

Deep-space rf communication links





D. Boroson, On achieving high performance optical communications from very deep space, Proc. SPIE **10524**, 105240B (2018)



Deep-space optical communication

TUNED IN

SOURCE: NASA/JPL-CALTECH

Interplanetary data transmission rates have shot up 10 orders of magnitude in the past 50 years, thanks in part to higher frequency bands of radio waves. Optical transmissions with lasers promise to extend that pace, to the point at which high-definition television broadcasts from Jupiter might be possible.





D. Powell, Lasers boost space communications, Nature 499, 266 (2013)



Signal strength

 $P_{\rm tx}$ transmitter power

 η channel transmission and detection efficiency



Average detected number of photons per slot:

$$n_a = \frac{\eta P_{\rm tx} \tau}{h f_c} = \frac{1}{h f_c} \cdot \frac{\eta P_{\rm tx}}{B}$$

Planck's constant $h=6.626 imes10^{-34}~{
m J\cdot s}$





System characteristics

Channel transmission:

$$\eta_{\rm ch} = \frac{1}{r^2} \cdot \left(\frac{\pi D_{\rm tx} D_{\rm rx}}{4\lambda_c}\right)^2$$

$$\frac{\text{Optical}}{2 \cdot 10^5 \text{ GHz}}$$

Signal central wavelength $\ \lambda_c = c/f_c$

Operating regime	RF	Optical
Carrier frequency f_c	32 GHz	$2 \cdot 10^5 \text{ GHz}$
Transmit antenna diameter ${\cal D}_t$	3 m	0.22 m
Receiver antenna diameter ${\cal D}_r$	34 m	11.8 m
Channel transmission $\eta_{\rm ch}$	$3.29 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$8.32 \cdot 10^{-11}$
Detector efficiency η_{det}	0.1	0.025
Bandwidth B	$0.5~\mathrm{GHz}$	2 GHz
Transmit power P	$35 \mathrm{W}$	4 W
Average output photon number n_a	1.08	0.03
Average noise photon number n_b	66.68	0.03



B. Moision and W. Farr, IPN Prog. Rep. 42-199, 1-10 (2014)



Phase-insensitive Gaussian channel





shot-noise limited detection

channel excess noise



Shannon-Hartley theorem

Quantum Shannon theory



Holevo quantity $\chi {:}$ for any measurement on the output ensemble

$$\mathsf{I} \le \chi = \mathsf{S}\left(\sum_{i} p_i \mathbf{\Lambda}(\hat{\varrho}_i)\right) - \sum_{i} p_i \mathsf{S}\big(\mathbf{\Lambda}(\hat{\varrho}_i)\big)$$

where $S(\hat{\varrho}) = -\text{Tr}(\hat{\varrho} \log_2 \hat{\varrho})$ is the von Neumann entropy.

For a phase-insensitive Gaussian channel under average power constraint:



V. Giovannetti, R. García-Patrón, N. J. Cerf, A. S. Holevo, Nature Photon. 8, 796 (2014)



Pure loss channel



OF WARSAW





Information rate [bits/s]: $R = B \cdot \mathsf{C} = B \cdot n_a \cdot \mathsf{PIE}$



SITY



OF WARSAW

PPM – Pulse Position Modulation









WARSAW

PPM PIE asymptotics

M. Jarzyna, P. Kuszaj, K. Banaszek, Opt. Express 23, 3170 (2015)

Photocount probability:

$$p = 1 - \exp(-Mn_a) \approx Mn_a - \frac{1}{2}(Mn_a)^2$$

Approximate analytical expression:

$$\mathsf{PIE}_{\mathrm{PPM}} \approx \left(W\left(\frac{2\mathrm{e}}{n_a}\right) - 2 + \left[W\left(\frac{2\mathrm{e}}{n_a}\right) \right]^{-1} \right) \log_2 \mathrm{e}$$



Lambert function W(x) $\approx \log x - \log \log x$ for $x \gg 1$

Optimal PPM order

$$M \approx \frac{2}{n_a} \left[W\left(\frac{2\mathrm{e}}{n_a}\right) \right]^{-1}$$





Holevo quantity assumes:

- preparation of codewords
- collective detection of multiple symbols



S. Guha, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 240502 (2011)



UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW

Scalable structured receiver

K. Banaszek and M. Jachura, Proc. IEEE ICSOS 2017, pp. 34-37



OF WARSAW

k

Realization

K. Banaszek and M. Jachura, Proc. IEEE ICSOS 2017, pp. 34-37



UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW

Phase-polarization patterns

K. Banaszek and M. Jachura, Proc. IEEE ICSOS 2017, pp. 34-37





PPM encoding achieved by shifting the entire pattern in time

Atmospheric turbulence





Noisy channel asymptotics



Optimized PPM with background noise

W. Zwoliński, M. Jarzyna, and K. Banaszek, Opt. Express 26, 25827 (2018)



- multimode background noise yielding Poissonian count statistics
- Geiger-type direct detection
- unconstrained peak-to-average power ratio (PPM order)



Range dependence

W. Zwoliński, M. Jarzyna, and K. Banaszek, Opt. Express 26, 25827 (2018)









High-order modulation formats





K. Banaszek, M. Jachura, W. Wasilewski, Utilizing time-bandwidth space for efficient deep-space communication, Proc. International Conference on Space Optics 2018, paper P22



Thank you!

