



# Grassmannian Product Codebooks for Limited Feedback FD-MIMO

# Stefan Schwarz Institute of Telecommunications, TU Wien

March 12, 2019





Outer-Tier CSI Feedback

Conclusion



Dependable Wireless Connectivity for the Society in Motion



- Utilize two-dimensional active antenna arrays to enable 2D-beamforming (azimuth, elevation)
- · Allows to improve spectral efficiency, energy efficiency and network capacity
- We consider downlink linear multi-user MIMO transmission

$$\mathbf{y}_{u} = \mathbf{H}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{F}_{u}\mathbf{x}_{u} + \mathbf{H}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}}\sum_{j=1, j \neq u}^{U}\mathbf{F}_{j}\mathbf{x}_{j} + \mathbf{z}_{u}$$

•  $\mathbf{H}_u \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_r}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_u \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_s}$ :  $N_t$ ,  $N_r$  transmit and receive antennas,  $N_s$  streams per user





- Utilize two-dimensional active antenna arrays to enable 2D-beamforming (azimuth, elevation)
- · Allows to improve spectral efficiency, energy efficiency and network capacity
- We consider downlink linear multi-user MIMO transmission

$$\mathbf{y}_{u} = \mathbf{H}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{F}_{u} \mathbf{x}_{u} + \mathbf{H}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}} \sum_{j=1, j \neq u}^{U} \mathbf{F}_{j} \mathbf{x}_{j} + \mathbf{z}_{u}$$

WIEN

Slide 3 / 20

•  $\mathbf{H}_u \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_r}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_u \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_s}$ :  $N_t$ ,  $N_r$  transmit and receive antennas,  $N_s$  streams per user

#### Limited Feedback Operation in FDD Systems



- Linear precoding requires channel state information (CSI) at the transmitter (CSIT)
- Time division duplex (TDD): uplink channel estimation can be employed
- Frequency division duplex (FDD): explicit limited feedback of CSI from the users
  - $\Rightarrow$  Downlink channel estimation and CSI quantization/feedback required
  - $\Rightarrow$  Downlink pilot overhead proportional to  $N_t$
  - $\Rightarrow$  Uplink CSI overhead proportional to  $N_t \cdot N_r$
- Hardly feasible for large N<sub>t</sub>



#### Limited Feedback Operation in FDD Systems



- Linear precoding requires channel state information (CSI) at the transmitter (CSIT)
- Time division duplex (TDD): uplink channel estimation can be employed
- Frequency division duplex (FDD): explicit limited feedback of CSI from the users
  - $\Rightarrow$  Downlink channel estimation and CSI quantization/feedback required
  - $\Rightarrow$  Downlink pilot overhead proportional to  $N_t$
  - $\Rightarrow$  Uplink CSI overhead proportional to  $N_t \cdot N_r$
- Hardly feasible for large N<sub>t</sub>



# Two-Tier Precoding



• Split-up precoding into two-tiers:

$$\mathbf{F}_u = \mathbf{F}_o \mathbf{F}_{i,u}$$

WIEN

Slide 5 / 20

- 1. User-group-specific outer precoding  $\mathbf{F}_{o} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{t} imes N_{\ell}}$
- 2. User-specific inner precoding  $\mathbf{F}_{i,u} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell} \times N_s}$
- **F**<sub>o</sub> often considered as wideband precoder (adapted based on channel statistics)
- $F_{i,u}$  often considered as subband precoder (adapted based on instantaneous CSI)

# Two-Tier Precoding



• Split-up precoding into two-tiers:

$$\mathbf{F}_u = \mathbf{F}_o \mathbf{F}_{i,u}$$

WIEN

Slide 5 / 20

- 1. User-group-specific outer precoding  $\mathbf{F}_{o} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{t} imes N_{\ell}}$
- 2. User-specific inner precoding  $\mathbf{F}_{i,u} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\ell} \times N_s}$
- **F**<sub>o</sub> often considered as wideband precoder (adapted based on channel statistics)
- **F**<sub>*i*,*u*</sub> often considered as subband precoder (adapted based on instantaneous CSI)

## Two-Tier Precoding – Advantages/Disadvantages



- Reduced downlink pilot overhead and CSI feedback overhead
  - Infrequent estimation and feedback of the full channel matrix H<sub>u</sub>
  - Per TTI estimation and feedback of  $\mathbf{H}_{o,u} = \mathbf{F}_o^H \mathbf{H}_u \in \mathbb{C}^{N_\ell \times N_r}$ ,  $N_\ell \ll N_t$
- Reduced number of RF chains if F<sub>o</sub> is implemented in the RF domain (hybrid precoding)
- Spatial multiplexing capabilities restricted to  $N_\ell$
- Suboptimal structure imposed on precoders



# Two-Tier Precoding – Advantages/Disadvantages



WIEN

Slide 6 / 20

- Reduced downlink pilot overhead and CSI feedback overhead
  - Infrequent estimation and feedback of the full channel matrix  $H_u$
  - Per TTI estimation and feedback of  $\mathbf{H}_{o,u} = \mathbf{F}_o^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H}_u \in \mathbb{C}^{N_\ell \times N_r}$ ,  $N_\ell \ll N_t$
- Reduced number of RF chains if F<sub>o</sub> is implemented in the RF domain (hybrid precoding)
- Spatial multiplexing capabilities restricted to  $N_\ell$
- Suboptimal structure imposed on precoders

## Considered Two-Tier Precoding Strategy

- Outer-tier maximum eigenmode transmission (MET)
  - Maximum eigenmodes of the channel:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}_{u}\mathbf{H}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}} &= \mathbf{U}_{u}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u}\mathbf{U}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}},\\ \mathbf{U}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{U}_{u} &= \mathbf{I}_{N_{r}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u} = \mathrm{Diag}\left(\sigma_{u}^{(1)}, \ldots, \sigma_{u}^{(N_{r})}\right) \end{split}$$

- Multi-user MET precoder:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{o} &= \left[\mathbf{U}_{1}^{(N_{s})}, \mathbf{U}_{2}^{(N_{s})}, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{U}^{(N_{s})}\right] \\ \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} &= \left[\mathbf{u}_{j}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{j}^{(N_{s})}\right] \end{aligned}$$

• Inner-tier block-diagonalization (BD) precoding

$$\left( \mathsf{U}_{o,j}^{(N_s)} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \mathsf{F}_{i,u} \stackrel{!}{=} \mathbf{0}, \ \forall j \neq u,$$
  
rank  $\left( \left( \mathsf{U}_{o,j}^{(N_s)} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \mathsf{F}_{i,u} \right) = N_s$ 

• 
$$\mathsf{U}_{o,j}^{(N_s)}$$
 obtained from an SVD of  $\mathsf{H}_{o,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_\ell imes N_r}$ 

#### Considered Two-Tier Precoding Strategy

- Outer-tier maximum eigenmode transmission (MET)
  - Maximum eigenmodes of the channel:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}_{u}\mathbf{H}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}} &= \mathbf{U}_{u}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u}\mathbf{U}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}},\\ \mathbf{U}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{U}_{u} &= \mathbf{I}_{N_{r}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u} = \mathrm{Diag}\left(\sigma_{u}^{(1)}, \ldots, \sigma_{u}^{(N_{r})}\right) \end{split}$$

Multi-user MET precoder:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{F}_{o} &= \left[\mathbf{U}_{1}^{(N_{s})}, \mathbf{U}_{2}^{(N_{s})}, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{U}^{(N_{s})}\right], \\ \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} &= \left[\mathbf{u}_{j}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{j}^{(N_{s})}\right] \end{split}$$

• Inner-tier block-diagonalization (BD) precoding

$$\left( \mathsf{U}_{o,j}^{(N_s)} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \mathsf{F}_{i,u} \stackrel{!}{=} \mathbf{0}, \ \forall j \neq u,$$
  
rank  $\left( \left( \mathsf{U}_{o,j}^{(N_s)} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \mathsf{F}_{i,u} \right) = N_s$ 

• 
$$\mathsf{U}_{o,j}^{(N_s)}$$
 obtained from an SVD of  $\mathsf{H}_{o,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_\ell imes N_r}$ 

#### Considered Two-Tier Precoding Strategy

- Outer-tier maximum eigenmode transmission (MET)
  - Maximum eigenmodes of the channel:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}_{u}\mathbf{H}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}} &= \mathbf{U}_{u}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u}\mathbf{U}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}},\\ \mathbf{U}_{u}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{U}_{u} &= \mathbf{I}_{N_{r}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u} = \mathrm{Diag}\left(\sigma_{u}^{(1)}, \ldots, \sigma_{u}^{(N_{r})}\right) \end{split}$$

- Multi-user MET precoder:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{F}_{o} &= \left[\mathbf{U}_{1}^{(N_{s})}, \mathbf{U}_{2}^{(N_{s})}, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{U}^{(N_{s})}\right], \\ \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} &= \left[\mathbf{u}_{j}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{j}^{(N_{s})}\right] \end{split}$$

• Inner-tier block-diagonalization (BD) precoding

$$\left( \mathbf{U}_{o,j}^{(N_s)} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{F}_{i,u} \stackrel{!}{=} \mathbf{0}, \ \forall j \neq u,$$
  
 
$$\operatorname{rank} \left( \left( \mathbf{U}_{o,j}^{(N_s)} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{F}_{i,u} \right) = N_s$$

• 
$$\mathbf{U}_{o,j}^{(N_s)}$$
 obtained from an SVD of  $\mathbf{H}_{o,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_\ell \times N_t}$ 





# **Outer-Tier CSI Feedback**

Conclusion



Dependable Wireless Connectivity for the Society in Motion

#### Two-Tier CSI Feedback



- Many efficient CSI feedback methods are available for small-scale MIMO systems
- These can be employed for the quantization and feedback of H<sub>o,u</sub>
  - $\Rightarrow$  We focus on computationally and rate-distortion efficient outer-tier CSI feedback of  $H_u$



## Outer-Tier CSI Feedback



• What information does the transmitter need about H<sub>u</sub> to enable MET precoding?

$$\mathbf{F}_{o} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{1}^{(N_{s})}, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{U}^{(N_{s})} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{1}^{(N_{s})} \mathbf{Q}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{U}^{(N_{s})} \mathbf{Q}_{U} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{Q}_{i}^{H} \mathbf{Q}_{i} = \mathbf{Q}_{i} \mathbf{Q}_{i}^{H} = \mathbf{I}_{N_{s}}$$

WIEN

Slide 10 / 20

 $\Rightarrow \text{Subspace information is sufficient, since we do not consider power-loading over the eigenmodes} \\\Rightarrow \text{We apply Grassmannian quantization to } \text{span}\left(\textbf{U}_{1}^{(N_{s})}\right) \text{ on the Grassmann manifold } \mathcal{G}_{N_{s}}^{(N_{t})}$ 

#### Grassmann Manifold Basics



- $\mathcal{G}_{N_s}^{(N_t)}$  manifold of  $N_s$ -dimensional subspaces of the  $N_t$ -dimensional real/complex Euclidean space
- Chordal distance between two subspaces defined by their orthogonal bases  $\mathsf{U}_1,\mathsf{U}_2\in\mathbb{C}^{N_t imes N_s}$

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2}\left(\mathbf{U}_{1},\mathbf{U}_{2}\right)=\mathit{N}_{s}-\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{U}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{U}_{2}\mathbf{U}_{2}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{U}_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\mathit{N}_{s}}\sin{\varphi_{i}}^{2}$$

•  $\varphi_i$  are the principal angles between the subspaces



#### Grassmann Manifold Basics



- $\mathcal{G}_{N_s}^{(N_t)}$  manifold of  $N_s$ -dimensional subspaces of the  $N_t$ -dimensional real/complex Euclidean space
- Chordal distance between two subspaces defined by their orthogonal bases  $\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{U}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t imes N_s}$

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2}\left(\mathbf{U}_{1},\mathbf{U}_{2}\right)=\textit{N}_{s}-\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{U}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{U}_{2}\mathbf{U}_{2}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{U}_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\textit{N}_{s}}\sin{\varphi_{i}}^{2}$$

•  $\varphi_i$  are the principal angles between the subspaces



#### Single-Stage Outer-Tier CSI Feedback

• The SNR-loss of MET-BD precoding with imperfect CSIT w.r.t. perfect CSIT is determined by the chordal distance CSI error ⇒ minimum chordal distance quantization:

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{j,\text{single}}^{(N_s)} = \mathop{\arg\min}\limits_{\mathbf{W}_{\ell} \in \mathcal{Q}_{N_s}^{(N_t)}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^2 \left( \mathbf{U}_j^{(N_s)}, \mathbf{W}_{\ell} \right)$$

• Quantization codebook  $\mathcal{Q}_{N_s}^{(N_t)} = \left\{ \mathbf{W}_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_s} | \mathbf{W}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{W}_{\ell} = \mathbf{I}_{N_s}, \forall \ell \right\}$  of size  $2^b$ 

Rayleigh fading channels: random subspace quantization is asymptotically efficient

$$\bar{\mathbf{d}}_{c,\text{single}}^{2} = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2}\left(\mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{j,\text{single}}^{(N_{s})}\right)\right) \propto 2^{-b/(N_{s}(N_{t}-N_{s}))}$$

• Problem: huge codebooks are required for large  $N_t 
ightarrow$  computationally infeasible

#### Single-Stage Outer-Tier CSI Feedback

• The SNR-loss of MET-BD precoding with imperfect CSIT w.r.t. perfect CSIT is determined by the chordal distance CSI error ⇒ minimum chordal distance quantization:

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{j,\text{single}}^{(N_s)} = \mathop{\arg\min}\limits_{\mathbf{W}_{\ell} \in \mathcal{Q}_{N_s}^{(N_t)}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^2 \left( \mathbf{U}_j^{(N_s)}, \mathbf{W}_{\ell} \right)$$

- Quantization codebook  $\mathcal{Q}_{N_s}^{(N_t)} = \left\{ \mathbf{W}_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_s} | \mathbf{W}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{W}_{\ell} = \mathbf{I}_{N_s}, \forall \ell \right\}$  of size  $2^b$
- Rayleigh fading channels: random subspace quantization is asymptotically efficient

$$\bar{\mathrm{d}}_{c,\mathrm{single}}^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^2\left(\mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_s)},\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{j,\mathrm{single}}^{(N_s)}\right)\right) \propto 2^{-b/(N_s(N_t-N_s))}$$

• Problem: huge codebooks are required for large  $N_t \rightarrow$  computationally infeasible



• Employ a product-codebook construction to reduce the quantization complexity

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^{(N_t)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times S}, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{U}}_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_{2,N_s}^{(S)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{S \times N_s}$$

• The second-stage quantization codebook  $\mathcal{Q}^{(5)}_{2,N_e}$  should be independent of  $\hat{\mathsf{U}}_1$ 





• Employ a product-codebook construction to reduce the quantization complexity

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^{(N_t)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times S}, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{U}}_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_{2,N_s}^{(S)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{S \times N_s}$$

• The second-stage quantization codebook  $\mathcal{Q}_{2,N_e}^{(5)}$  should be independent of  $\hat{\mathsf{U}}_1$ 





• Employ a product-codebook construction to reduce the quantization complexity

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^{(N_t)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times S}, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{U}}_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_{2,N_s}^{(S)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{S \times N_s}$$

• The second-stage quantization codebook  $\mathcal{Q}_{2,N_e}^{(5)}$  should be independent of  $\hat{\mathsf{U}}_1$ 





• Employ a product-codebook construction to reduce the quantization complexity

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^{(N_t)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times S}, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{U}}_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_{2,N_s}^{(S)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{S \times N_s}$$

• The second-stage quantization codebook  $\mathcal{Q}_{2,N_e}^{(5)}$  should be independent of  $\hat{\mathsf{U}}_1$ 





• Employ a product-codebook construction to reduce the quantization complexity

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^{(N_t)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_t imes S}, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{U}}_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_{2,N_s}^{(S)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{S imes N_s}$$

• The second-stage quantization codebook  $\mathcal{Q}_{2,N_s}^{(S)}$  should be independent of  $\hat{U}_1$ 



• First-stage quantizer:

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{W}_\ell \in \mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^{(N_t)}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^2 \left( \mathbf{U}_j^{(N_s)}, \mathbf{W}_\ell \right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times S}$$

• Subspace-quantization based combining

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B} &= \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \left( \left( \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1} \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{C}^{S \times N_{s}}, \\ \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{I}_{N_{s}}, \quad \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2} \left( \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1}, \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right) = \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2} \left( \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1} \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right) \end{split}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}}_{2} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{\ell} \in \mathcal{Q}_{2,\textit{N}_{s}}^{(S)}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}},\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{\ell}\right)$$

• Total quantized CSI: 
$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{j,\text{dual}}^{(N_s)} = \hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{U}}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_s}$$





• First-stage quantizer:

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{W}_\ell \in \mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^{(N_t)}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^2 \left( \mathbf{U}_j^{(N_s)}, \mathbf{W}_\ell \right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times S}$$

• Subspace-quantization based combining

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B} &= \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \left( \left( \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1} \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{C}^{S \times N_{s}}, \\ \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{I}_{N_{s}}, \quad \mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2} \left( \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1}, \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right) = \mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2} \left( \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1} \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right) \end{split}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}}_{2} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{\ell} \in \mathcal{Q}_{2,N_{s}}^{(S)}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}},\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{\ell}\right)$$

• Total quantized CSI: 
$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{j,\text{dual}}^{(N_s)} = \hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{U}}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_s}$$





• First-stage quantizer:

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{W}_\ell \in \mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^{(N_t)}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^2 \left( \mathbf{U}_j^{(N_s)}, \mathbf{W}_\ell \right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times S}$$

• Subspace-quantization based combining

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B} &= \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \left( \left( \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1} \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{C}^{S \times N_{s}}, \\ \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{I}_{N_{s}}, \quad \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2} \left( \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1}, \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right) = \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2} \left( \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1} \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right) \end{split}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}}_{2} = \mathop{\arg\min}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{\ell} \in \mathcal{Q}_{2,\textit{N}_{s}}^{(S)}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}},\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{\ell}\right)$$

• Total quantized CSI: 
$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{j,\text{dual}}^{(N_s)} = \hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{U}}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_s}$$





• First-stage quantizer:

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{W}_\ell \in \mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^{(N_t)}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^2 \left( \mathbf{U}_j^{(N_s)}, \mathbf{W}_\ell \right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times S}$$

• Subspace-quantization based combining

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B} &= \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \left( \left( \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1} \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{C}^{S \times N_{s}}, \\ \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{I}_{N_{s}}, \quad \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2} \left( \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1}, \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right) = \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^{2} \left( \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{1} \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{U}_{j}^{(N_{s})} \right) \end{split}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}}_2 = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_\ell \in \mathcal{Q}_{2,\textit{N}_{\textit{s}}}^{(\textit{S})}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^2\left(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}},\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_\ell\right)$$

• Total quantized CSI: 
$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{j,\mathsf{dual}}^{(N_s)} = \hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{U}}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_s}$$





# Single-Stage vs. Multi-Stage Outer-Tier CSI Feedback – Rayleigh Fading



• The product codebook quantization error is determined by:

$$\bar{\mathrm{d}}_{c,\mathsf{dual}}^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^2\left(\mathbf{U}_j^{(N_s)},\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{j,\mathsf{dual}}^{(N_s)}\right)\right) = N_s - \frac{1}{N_s}\left(N_s - \bar{\mathrm{d}}_{c,1}^2\right)\left(N_s - \bar{\mathrm{d}}_{c,2}^2\right)$$

WIEN

Slide 15 / 20

• Notice 
$$2^{64} + 2^{64} = 2^{65} \ll 2^{128} \rightarrow$$
 significant complexity reduction

# Single-Stage vs. Multi-Stage Outer-Tier CSI Feedback – Rayleigh Fading



• The product codebook quantization error is determined by:

$$\bar{\mathrm{d}}_{c,\mathsf{dual}}^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^2\left(\mathbf{U}_j^{(N_s)}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}_{j,\mathsf{dual}}^{(N_s)}\right)\right) = N_s - \frac{1}{N_s}\left(N_s - \bar{\mathrm{d}}_{c,1}^2\right)\left(N_s - \bar{\mathrm{d}}_{c,2}^2\right)$$

WIEN

Slide 15 / 20

• Notice 
$$2^{64} + 2^{64} = 2^{65} \ll 2^{128} \rightarrow$$
 significant complexity reduction

# Single-Stage vs. Multi-Stage Outer-Tier CSI Feedback – Rayleigh Fading



• The product codebook quantization error is determined by:

$$\bar{\mathrm{d}}_{c,\mathsf{dual}}^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{G}}^2\left(\mathbf{U}_j^{(N_s)},\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{j,\mathsf{dual}}^{(N_s)}\right)\right) = N_s - \frac{1}{N_s}\left(N_s - \bar{\mathrm{d}}_{c,1}^2\right)\left(N_s - \bar{\mathrm{d}}_{c,2}^2\right)$$

WIEN

Slide 15 / 20

• Notice 
$$2^{64} + 2^{64} = 2^{65} \ll 2^{128} \rightarrow$$
 significant complexity reduction

## Multi-Scattering Directional Channels



• Multi-scattering directional channel model:

$$\mathbf{H}_{u} = \sqrt{N_{t}N_{r}} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{p}} \alpha_{p,u} \mathbf{a}_{t} \left( \phi_{p,u} \right) \mathbf{a}_{r} \left( \theta_{p,u} \right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$

• Antenna array response vector of a uniform linear array:

$$\left[\mathbf{a}_t^{\mathsf{ULA}}(\phi)\right]_k = \frac{g_e(\phi)}{\sqrt{N_t}} \exp\left(j\frac{2\pi d}{\lambda}(k-1)\sin(\phi)\right)$$



## Multi-Scattering Directional Channels



• Multi-scattering directional channel model:

$$\mathbf{H}_{u} = \sqrt{N_{t}N_{r}} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{p}} \alpha_{p,u} \mathbf{a}_{t} \left(\phi_{p,u}\right) \mathbf{a}_{r} \left(\theta_{p,u}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$

• Antenna array response vector of a uniform linear array:

$$\left[\mathbf{a}_t^{\mathsf{ULA}}(\phi)\right]_k = \frac{g_e(\phi)}{\sqrt{N_t}} \exp\left(j\frac{2\pi d}{\lambda}(k-1)\sin(\phi)\right)$$

WIEN

Slide 16 / 20





Multi-scattering directional channels: DFT-based codebooks perform well for small b

$$\mathcal{Q}_{S,\mathsf{DFT}}^{(N_t,N_{\mathsf{DFT}})} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_t}} \left[ \mathbf{D}_{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}} \right]_{1:N_t,\mathcal{S}} \left| \forall \mathcal{S}, |\mathcal{S}| = \mathcal{S} \right\}, \quad [\mathbf{D}_{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}]_{\ell,k} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi(\ell-1)(k-1)}{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}}$$

WIEN

Slide 17 / 20

• Example: 
$$N_t = 64$$
,  $N_r = N_s = 2$ ,  $N_p = 4$ 



Multi-scattering directional channels: DFT-based codebooks perform well for small b

$$\mathcal{Q}_{S,\mathsf{DFT}}^{(N_t,N_{\mathsf{DFT}})} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_t}} \left[ \mathbf{D}_{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}} \right]_{1:N_t,\mathcal{S}} \left| \forall \mathcal{S}, |\mathcal{S}| = \mathcal{S} \right\}, \quad [\mathbf{D}_{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}]_{\ell,k} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi(\ell-1)(k-1)}{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}}$$

WIEN

Slide 17 / 20

• Example: 
$$N_t = 64$$
,  $N_r = N_s = 2$ ,  $N_p = 4$ 



• Multi-scattering directional channels: DFT-based codebooks perform well for small b

$$\mathcal{Q}_{S,\mathsf{DFT}}^{(N_t,N_{\mathsf{DFT}})} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_t}} \left[ \mathbf{D}_{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}} \right]_{1:N_t,\mathcal{S}} \left| \forall \mathcal{S}, |\mathcal{S}| = \mathcal{S} \right\}, \quad [\mathbf{D}_{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}]_{\ell,k} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi(\ell-1)(k-1)}{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}}$$

WIEN

Slide 17 / 20

• Example: 
$$N_t = 64$$
,  $N_r = N_s = 2$ ,  $N_p = 4$ 



• Multi-scattering directional channels: DFT-based codebooks perform well for small b

$$\mathcal{Q}_{S,\mathsf{DFT}}^{(N_t,N_{\mathsf{DFT}})} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_t}} \left[ \mathbf{D}_{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}} \right]_{1:N_t,\mathcal{S}} \left| \forall \mathcal{S}, |\mathcal{S}| = \mathcal{S} \right\}, \quad [\mathbf{D}_{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}]_{\ell,k} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi(\ell-1)(k-1)}{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}}$$

WIEN

Slide 17 / 20

• Example: 
$$N_t = 64$$
,  $N_r = N_s = 2$ ,  $N_p = 4$ 



Multi-scattering directional channels: DFT-based codebooks perform well for small b

$$\mathcal{Q}_{S,\mathsf{DFT}}^{(N_t,N_{\mathsf{DFT}})} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_t}} \left[ \mathbf{D}_{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}} \right]_{1:N_t,\mathcal{S}} \left| \forall \mathcal{S}, |\mathcal{S}| = \mathcal{S} \right\}, \quad [\mathbf{D}_{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}]_{\ell,k} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi(\ell-1)(k-1)}{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}}$$

WIEN

Slide 17 / 20

• Example: 
$$N_t = 64$$
,  $N_r = N_s = 2$ ,  $N_p = 4$ 



- Measured channel traces of Nokia Bell Labs, Stuttgart
- $N_t = 64$ ,  $N_r = N_s = 2$ , U = 6 users with velocities between 15 and 25 km/h @2 GHz center frequency

$$\left(\log_2\left(\binom{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}{S}\right) + S N_s b_s\right) R_f R_t \approx 5.36 \, \mathsf{bit/ms/MHz}.$$

WIEN



- Measured channel traces of Nokia Bell Labs, Stuttgart
- $N_t = 64$ ,  $N_r = N_s = 2$ , U = 6 users with velocities between 15 and 25 km/h @2 GHz center frequency

$$\left(\log_2\left(\binom{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}{S}\right) + S N_s b_s\right) R_f R_t \approx 5.36 \, \mathsf{bit/ms/MHz}.$$

WIEN



- Measured channel traces of Nokia Bell Labs, Stuttgart
- $N_t = 64$ ,  $N_r = N_s = 2$ , U = 6 users with velocities between 15 and 25 km/h @2 GHz center frequency

$$\left(\log_2\left(\binom{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}{S}\right) + S N_s b_s\right) R_f R_t \approx 5.36 \, \mathsf{bit/ms/MHz}.$$

WIEN



- Measured channel traces of Nokia Bell Labs, Stuttgart
- $N_t = 64$ ,  $N_r = N_s = 2$ , U = 6 users with velocities between 15 and 25 km/h @2 GHz center frequency

$$\left(\log_2\left(\binom{N_{\mathsf{DFT}}}{S}\right) + S N_s b_s\right) R_f R_t \approx 5.36 \, \mathsf{bit/ms/MHz}.$$

WIEN



Outer-Tier CSI Feedback

# Conclusion



Dependable Wireless Connectivity for the Society in Motion

- Grassmannian dual-stage product codebooks can perform very close to single-stage quantization when employing RVQ/RSQ
- Significant complexity reduction by utilizing two relatively small codebooks rather than a single large codebook
- In multi-scattering channels, the product codebook can additionally mitigate the error floor of DFT codebooks
- Future work: further extension to multi-stage product codebooks?

