



April 26, 2022

P R A C T I C A L C O U R S E
for
Student's name, Mat.-Nr. XXX

Updating goals of parameterized tasks taught via kinesthetic teaching using vision

Problem description:

Nowadays robots need to be more adaptable and easily programmed, even by non-expert users, to be used in a large variety of fields such as assistive robotics or collaborative robotics. A way to make programming accessible to all is learning from demonstration methods [1] among which are kinesthetic teaching, tele-manipulation, or visual demonstrations. The tasks to be taught can be difficult to demonstrate due to the difficulty to edit them, re-use knowledge, know what is taught. This is why an intuitive graphical user interface (GUI) [2] that enables an interactive learning process can be an important tool to ease the teaching process for the non-expert user. This interface can be inspired by the task-level programming interface [4] to visualize the skills composing the task-graph. The aim of this practical project is to allow the user of the robot to update the goal of a task/skill by clicking on the object to be considered for the task/skill inside this GUI.

Tasks:

During this project your task will be to:

- Use an intel realsense depth camera to obtain the visual information of the scene
- Work on detecting the objects used for the taught task using yolov5 [3]
- Create/improve a GUI that gives the user access to this visual information and allows the user to select an object
- Update the goal information of the task with the center position of the object

Bibliography:

- [1] Sylvain Calinon and Dongheui Lee. *Learning Control*, pages 1261–1312. 01 2019.
- [2] Johanna Liebl. Master thesis: Intuitive knowledge representations for interactive robot programming. 2021.
- [3] Qisong Song, Shaobo Li, Qiang Bai, Jing Yang, Xingxing Zhang, Zhiang Li, and Zhongjing Duan. Object detection method for grasping robot based on improved yolov5. *Micromachines*, 12(11), 2021.
- [4] Franz Steinmetz, Verena Nitsch, and Freek Stulp. Intuitive task-level programming by demonstration through semantic skill recognition. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 4(4):3742–3749, 2019.

Supervisor: M. Sc. Camille Vindolet

(G. Cheng)
Univ.-Professor



April 25, 2022

PRACTICAL COURSE

Dependence of the effect of vibrotactile biofeedback on postural control on stimulus and reference system location

Problem description:

Wearable devices have been developed and investigated [8] for improving postural control. They provide a good opportunity for the application in everyday life [7]. Especially vibrotactile feedback is feasible for applications in everyday life, since it is unobtrusive [9] and not restricting other sensory functions, such as seeing, hearing, tasting[1]. Previous studies have used vibrotactile feedback at different locations [2], most commonly around the waist [4, 5, 10, 12]. However, reaction times to vibrotactile feedback are faster at locations closer to the head, except for the fingertips due their higher tactile sensitivity [2]. Thus, recently a vibrotactile vest was investigated [11]. However, only a local reduction of tilt angle deviation at the lower back could be observed, while center of pressure (CoP) displacement did increase [11]. Though, previous studies with a vibrotactile belt showed a reduction of body sway at both low back and CoP [3, 6]. It remains unknown, if these different observations are due to the location of the feedback or to what extent also the location of the reference system plays a role.

Tasks:

- Change vibration motors in vibrotactile vest
- Build vibrotactile belt
- Prepare scripts
 - compute body sway threshold based on different reference locations and systems: upper back (IMU), lower back (IMU), feet (pressure insoles)
 - data collection and analysis
- Answer the following research question: Does the effect of vibrotactile biofeedback on postural control depend on the body location of stimulation and reference system?
Therefore, compare the effect of repulsive biofeedback on body sway 1) resulted from a vibrotactile vest vs. a vibrotactile belt compared to no feedback condition, 2) resulted from different reference sensor locations (upper back, lower back, feet).

Bibliography:

- [1] Giulia Ballardini, Valeria Florio, Andrea Canessa, Giorgio Carlini, Pietro Morasso, and Maura Casadio. Vibrotactile Feedback for Improving Standing Balance. *Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology*, 8, 2020.
- [2] Tian Bao, Lydia Su, Catherine Kinnaird, Mohammed Kabeto, Peter B. Shull, and Kathleen H. Sienko. Vibrotactile display design: Quantifying the importance of age and various factors on reaction times. *PLOS ONE*, 14(8):1–20, 08 2019.
- [3] Zuzana Hirjaková, Jana Lobotková, Kristina Buckova, Diana Bzdúšková, and Frantisek Hlavacka. Age-Related Differences in Efficiency of Visual and Vibrotactile Biofeedback for Balance Improvement. *Activitas Nervosa Superior Rediviva*, 57(3):63–71, 2015.
- [4] Catherine Kinnaird, Jaehong Lee, Wendy Carender, Mohammed Kabeto, Bernard Martin, and Kathleen Sienko. The effects of attractive vs. repulsive instructional cuing on balance performance. *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, 13, 2016.
- [5] B.-C. Lee, B. J. Martin, and K. H. Sienko. Directional Postural Responses Induced by Vibrotactile Stimulations Applied to the Torso. *Experimental brain research*, 222(4):471–482, 2012.
- [6] Chia-Cheng Lin, Susan L. Whitney, Patrick J. Loughlin, Joseph M. Furman, Mark S. Redfern, Kathleen H. Sienko, and Patrick J. Sparto. The effect of age on postural and cognitive task performance while using vibrotactile feedback. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 113 7:2127–36, 2015.
- [7] C. Z. Ma, A. H. Wan, D. W. Wong, Y. P. Zheng, and W. C. Lee. A vibrotactile and plantar force measurement-based biofeedback system: Paving the way towards wearable balance-improving devices. *Sensors (Basel)*, 15:31709–22, 2015.
- [8] D. W. Ma, C. Z. and Wong, W. K. Lam, A. H. Wan, and W. C. Lee. Balance improvement effects of biofeedback systems with state-of-the-art wearable sensors: A systematic review. *Sensors (Basel)*, 16:434, 2016.
- [9] Jussi Rantala, Päivi Majaranta, Jari Kangas, Poika Isokoski, Deepak Akkil, Oleg Špakov, and Roope Raisamo. Gaze Interaction With Vibrotactile Feedback: Review and Design Guidelines. *Human–Computer Interaction*, 35(1):1–39, 2017.
- [10] Kathleen H. Sienko, Rachael D. Seidler, Wendy J. Carender, Adam D. Goodworth, Susan L. Whitney, and Robert J. Peterka. Potential mechanisms of sensory augmentation systems on human balance control. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 9:944, 2018.
- [11] Isabel Tannert, Katrin H Schulleri, Youssef Michel, Steeven Villa, Leif Johannsen, Joachim Hermsdorfer, and Dongheui Lee. Immediate effects of vibrotactile biofeedback instructions on human postural control. *Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. Annual International Conference*, 2021:7426—7432, November 2021.
- [12] Conrad Wall. Application of Vibrotactile Feedback of Body Motion to Improve Rehabilitation in Individuals with Imbalance. *Journal of Neurologic Physical Therapy*, 34(2):98–104, 2010.

Supervisor: M. Sc. Katrin Schulleri

(G. Cheng)
Univ.-Professor



April 26, 2022

P R A C T I C A L C O U R S E
for
Student Name, Mat.-Nr. XXXXXXX

**A comparison between EMG and Regression based stiffness estimation methods for
Variable Impedance Control**

Problem description:

Variable Impedance Control [1] has recently emerged as one of the promising techniques to control the robot behavior for a wide variety of applications and task requirements. The main idea is to adapt the robot impedance parameters (inertia, stiffness and damping) to enable the robot display the required behavior to its environment, and even adapt these parameters in a continuous manner. Out of the three impedance parameters, the stiffness has received the most attention in the literature. Several approaches were proposed with the aim to transfer and extract human stiffness adaptation skills to robots. For example, EMG-based approaches [2] rely on the idea of measuring the human arm configuration and muscle activation, and using it to estimate the end-point stiffness. On the other hand, regression based approaches [4, 3] collect data from the robot and use it to fit a second order model of mass-spring-damper. In this PP, our aim is to compare between the two approaches in terms of the resulting stiffness profiles from conducting the same task via teleoperation on a robot.

Tasks:

- Prepare a hardware setup that can be used for EMG-based stiffness extraction
- Conduct a calibration procedure in order to fit the EMG model parameters.
- Perform a teleoperated contact task and extract the data
- Analyze the data and compute the resulting stiffness profiles, both via regression and EMG.

Bibliography:

- [1] Fares J. Abu-Dakka and Matteo Saveriano. Variable impedance control and learninga review. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 7, 2020.
- [2] Arash Ajoudani, Nikos Tsagarakis, and Antonio Bicchi. Tele-impedance: Teleoperation with impedance regulation using a bodymachine interface. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 31(13):1642–1656, 2012.
- [3] Youssef Michel, Rahaf Rahal, Claudio Pacchierotti, Paolo Robuffo Giordano, and Dongheui Lee. Bilateral teleoperation with adaptive impedance control for contact tasks. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 6(3):5429–5436, 2021.
- [4] L. Rozo, S. Calinon, D. G. Caldwell, P. Jimnez, and C. Torras. Learning physical collaborative robot behaviors from human demonstrations. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 32(3):513–527, 2016.

Supervisor: M. Sc. Youssef Michel Abdelwadoud

(G. Cheng)
Univ.-Professor



April 26, 2022

P R A C T I C A L C O U R S E
for
Student Name, Mat.-Nr. XXXXXXX

Design and verification of a force sensor observer on a haptic device

Problem description:

Estimating external forces acting on a robotic device is an extremely useful feature that provides the robot with an additional sense of touch. This is crucial whether from a control perspective where applications such as force control require an estimation of forces acting on the robot end-effector for an accurate regulation of these forces, or from a safety perspective to react appropriately to potential robot collisions. Furthermore, recent works in shared control [3] rely on the idea of estimating human applied external forces to estimate human intent. Unfortunately, force sensing typically requires the presence of expensive force sensors. To solve that, recent works proposed the use of sensorless force estimation techniques such as momentum [1] and sliding mode observers. In this work, our aim is to adapt the approach from [2] to estimate the external forces acting in real-time on a haptic device. Furthermore, in order to verify the sensor results, we would like to compare the results with measurements obtained from a real-force sensor.

Tasks:

- Understand the approach from [2] and verify in simulations
- Implement the approach on the haptic device
- Design of a force sensor handle that can be mounted on the haptic device
- Analyze and compare the results.

Bibliography:

- [1] A. de Luca and R. Mattone. Sensorless robot collision detection and hybrid force/motion control. In *Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 999–1004, 2005.
- [2] Gianluca Garofalo, Nico Mansfeld, Julius Jankowski, and Christian Ott. Sliding mode momentum observers for estimation of external torques and joint acceleration. In *2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 6117–6123, 2019.
- [3] Hiba Latifee, Affan Pervez, Jee-Hwan Ryu, and Dongheui Lee. Mini-batched online incremental learning through supervisory teleoperation with kinesthetic coupling. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 5453–5459, 2020.

Supervisor: M. Sc. Youssef Michel Abdelwadoud

(G. Cheng)
Univ.-Professor