Chair of Connected Mobility TUM Department of Informatics Technical University of Munich



Open Source Lab Open Source Licenses

Sebastian Kappes

Chair of Connected Mobility TUM Department of Informatics Technical University of Munich

Garching, May 12, 2022



Tur Uhranturm



What is a license and why do we need it?



- While browsing the Internet, you stumble upon a code repository that you find interesting.
- Are you allowed to use this source code?



- While browsing the Internet, you stumble upon a code repository that you find interesting.
- Are you allowed to use this source code?
 - ⇒ By default: no




- While browsing the Internet, you stumble upon a code repository that you find interesting.
- Are you allowed to use this source code?
 - ⇒ By default: no
- Source code is under exclusive copyright unless stated otherwise



- While browsing the Internet, you stumble upon a code repository that you find interesting.
- Are you allowed to use this source code?
 - ⇒ By default: no
- Source code is under exclusive copyright unless stated otherwise
 - ⇒ A license is needed to specify what others are allowed to do with the program / the source code.




- While browsing the Internet, you stumble upon a code repository that you find interesting.
- Are you allowed to use this source code?
 - ⇒ By default: no
- Source code is under exclusive copyright unless stated otherwise
 - ⇒ A license is needed to specify what others are allowed to do with the program / the source code.





What makes a license "Open Source"?



⁰img.src.:https://www.hacktrix.com/wp-content/uploads/2009/04/remove-winrar-purchase-alert.png

What Makes A License "Open Source"?



- Multiple definitions exist (some of them based on one another).
 - ☐ The Free Software Definition (from GNU / the FSF; a.k.a. "The Four Freedoms")¹
 - □ Debian Free Software Guidelines²
 - ☐ The Open Source Definition (from the OSI)³

¹https://www.gnu.org/philosophy/free-sw.html.en

²https://www.debian.org/social_contract.en.html

³https://opensource.org/osd

What Makes A License "Open Source"?



- Multiple definitions exist (some of them based on one another).
 - ☐ The Free Software Definition (from GNU / the FSF; a.k.a. "The Four Freedoms")¹
 - □ Debian Free Software Guidelines²
 - ☐ The Open Source Definition (from the OSI)³
- ⇒ The license must grant the user certain rights.

¹https://www.gnu.org/philosophy/free-sw.html.en

²https://www.debian.org/social contract.en.html

³https://opensource.org/osd

What Makes A License "Open Source"?



Free Software Definition⁴

- The Freedom to run the program as you wish, for any purpose.
- The Freedom to study how the program works, and change it so it does your computing as you wish.
- The freedom to redistribute copies so you can help others.
- The freedom to distribute copies of your modified versions to others.

Open Source Definition⁵

- 1. Free Redistribution
- 2. [Program must include] Source Code
- Derived Works [can be published]
- 4. Integrity of The Author's Source Code
- 5. No Discrimination Against Persons or Groups
- 6. No Discrimination Against Fields of Endeavor
- Distribution of License
- 8. License Must Not Be Specific to a Product
- 9. License Must Not Restrict Other Software
- License Must Be Technology-Neutral

⁴https://www.gnu.org/philosophy/free-sw.html.en

⁵https://opensource.org/osd



Let's take a look at some Licenses!

The 2-Clause BSD License⁶



Copyright <YEAR> <COPYRIGHT HOLDER>

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, are permitted provided that the following conditions are met:

- 1. Redistributions of source code must retain the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer.
- 2. Redistributions in binary form must reproduce the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer in the documentation and/or other materials provided with the distribution.

[...]

⁶https://spdx.org/licenses/BSD-2-Clause.html

The MIT License (a.k.a. "The Expat License")7



Copyright <YEAR> <COPYRIGHT HOLDER>

Permission is hereby granted, free of charge, to any person obtaining a copy of this software and associated documentation files (the "Software"), to deal in the Software without restriction, including without limitation the rights to use, copy, modify, merge, publish, distribute, sublicense, and/or sell copies of the Software, and to permit persons to whom the Software is furnished to do so, subject to the following conditions:

The above copyright notice and this permission notice shall be included in all copies or substantial portions of the Software.

[...]

⁷https://spdx.org/licenses/MIT.html

Apache License 2.0⁸



■ Similar to BSD and MIT licenses, but with an extra clause against patent treachery.

⁸https://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0.html

Apache License 2.08



- Similar to BSD and MIT licenses, but with an extra clause against patent treachery.
- [...] 3. Grant of Patent License. Subject to the terms and conditions of this License, each Contributor hereby grants to You a perpetual, worldwide, non-exclusive, no-charge, royalty-free, irrevocable (except as stated in this section) patent license to make, have made, use, offer to sell, sell, import, and otherwise transfer the Work, where such license applies only to those patent claims licensable by such Contributor that are necessarily infringed by their Contribution(s) alone or by combination of their Contribution(s) with the Work to which such Contribution(s) was submitted. If You institute patent litigation against any entity (including a cross-claim or counterclaim in a lawsuit) alleging that the Work or a Contribution incorporated within the Work constitutes direct or contributory patent infringement, then any patent licenses granted to You under this License for that Work shall terminate as of the date such litigation is filed. [...]

⁸https://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0.html

A Possible Problem



You publish a program under one of the aforementioned licenses.

A Possible Problem



- You publish a program under one of the aforementioned licenses.
- Somebody else improves your program but then decides to distribute it under a proprietary license.

A Possible Problem



- You publish a program under one of the aforementioned licenses.
- Somebody else improves your program but then decides to distribute it under a proprietary license.
- ⇒ People can run off with your source code without any guarantee that it will remain open source.

ТИП

 Copyleft licenses demand that the program can only be redistributed under the same license.



⁹https://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0.en.html



- Copyleft licenses demand that the program can only be redistributed under the same license.
 - Applies to both modified and unmodified versions of the program.



⁹https://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0.en.html



- Copyleft licenses demand that the program can only be redistributed under the same license.
 - Applies to both modified and unmodified versions of the program.
 - Guarantees that the program will always remain open-source.



⁹https://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0.en.html



- Copyleft licenses demand that the program can only be redistributed under the same license.
 - Applies to both modified and unmodified versions of the program.
 - Guarantees that the program will always remain open-source.
 - ☐ Incentivizes programmers to contribute back to the project.



⁹https://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0.en.html



- Copyleft licenses demand that the program can only be redistributed under the same license.
 - Applies to both modified and unmodified versions of the program.
 - ☐ Guarantees that the program will always remain open-source.
 - ☐ Incentivizes programmers to contribute back to the project.
- The GNU Gerneal Public Licence (GPL)⁹ is the most widley adopted copyleft license.





⁹https://www.anu.org/licenses/apl-3.0.en.html



- Libraries
- Server-Side Applications

Incompatible Copyleft Licenses

¹⁰ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl-3.0.html.en

¹¹ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/agpl-3.0.en.html

¹²https://sfconservancv.org/blog/2016/feb/25/zfs-and-linux/



- Libraries
 - Programs that link to a GPL-licensed library will also have to use the same license.
- Server-Side Applications

■ Incompatible Copyleft Licenses

¹⁰ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl-3.0.html.en

¹¹ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/agpl-3.0.en.html

¹²https://sfconservancy.org/blog/2016/feb/25/zfs-and-linux/



- Libraries
 - ☐ Programs that link to a GPL-licensed library will also have to use the same license.
 - ⇒ The Lesser GPL (LGPL)¹⁰ allows linking even if a different license is used.
- Server-Side Applications

Incompatible Copyleft Licenses



10 https://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl-3.0.html.en

¹¹ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/agpl-3.0.en.html

¹²https://sfconservancy.org/blog/2016/feb/25/zfs-and-linux/



- Libraries
 - ☐ Programs that link to a GPL-licensed library will also have to use the same license.
 - ⇒ The Lesser GPL (LGPL)¹⁰ allows linking even if a different license is used.
- Server-Side Applications
 - ☐ Technically not "distributed" but accessed through a network.
- Incompatible Copyleft Licenses



¹⁰ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl-3.0.html.en

¹¹ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/agpl-3.0.en.html

¹²https://sfconservancy.org/blog/2016/feb/25/zfs-and-linux/



- Libraries
 - Programs that link to a GPL-licensed library will also have to use the same license.
 - ⇒ The Lesser GPL (LGPL)¹⁰ allows linking even if a different license is used.
- Server-Side Applications
 - ☐ Technically not "distributed" but accessed through a network.
 - ⇒ How can we enforce copyleft?
- Incompatible Copyleft Licenses



¹⁰ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl-3.0.html.en

¹¹ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/agpl-3.0.en.html

¹²https://sfconservancy.org/blog/2016/feb/25/zfs-and-linux/



- Libraries
 - Programs that link to a GPL-licensed library will also have to use the same license.
 - The Lesser GPL (LGPL)¹⁰ allows linking even if a different license is used.
- Server-Side Applications
 - Technically not "distributed" but accessed through a network.
 - How can we enforce copyleft?
 - The Affero GPL (AGPL)¹¹ also requires the disclosing of the source code if users can interact with the program through a network.
- Incompatible Copyleft Licenses



10 https://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl-3.0.html.en

¹¹ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/agpl-3.0.en.html

¹²https://sfconservancy.org/blog/2016/feb/25/zfs-and-linux/



- Libraries
 - Programs that link to a GPL-licensed library will also have to use the same license.
 - The Lesser GPL (LGPL)¹⁰ allows linking even if a different license is used.
- Server-Side Applications
 - Technically not "distributed" but accessed through a network.
 - How can we enforce copyleft?
 - The Affero GPL (AGPL)¹¹ also requires the disclosing of the source code if users can interact with the program through a network.
- Incompatible Copyleft Licenses
 - ☐ ZES in Linux¹²





¹⁰ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl-3.0.html.en

¹¹ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/agpl-3.0.en.html

¹²https://sfconservancy.org/blog/2016/feb/25/zfs-and-linux/



- Libraries
 - Programs that link to a GPL-licensed library will also have to use the same license.
 - ⇒ The Lesser GPL (LGPL)¹⁰ allows linking even if a different license is used.
- Server-Side Applications
 - □ Technically not "distributed" but accessed through a network.
 - ⇒ How can we enforce copyleft?
 - ⇒ The Affero GPL (AGPL)¹¹ also requires the disclosing of the source code if users can interact with the program through a network.
- Incompatible Copyleft Licenses
 - ☐ ZFS in Linux¹²
 - ⇒ When choosing a copyleft license for your own project, always use the GPL (or a compatible license).





rree as in rreeaon

10 https://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl-3.0.html.en

¹¹ https://www.gnu.org/licenses/agpl-3.0.en.html

¹²https://sfconservancy.org/blog/2016/feb/25/zfs-and-linux/

Contributor License Agreements (CLAs)



Some projects would like to retain the ability to change the license of program.

Contributor License Agreements (CLAs)



- Some projects would like to retain the ability to change the license of program.
- Contributors must sign a Contributor License Agreement (CLA) before they can contribute.

Contributor License Agreements (CLAs)



- Some projects would like to retain the ability to change the license of program.
- Contributors must sign a Contributor License Agreement (CLA) before they can contribute.
- ⇒ It's up to you if you want to do this.

Public Domain: The Easy Option




Instead of retaining copyright and using a license, one can also release the program into the public domain.

¹³https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/legalcode

Public Domain: The Easy Option



- Instead of retaining copyright and using a license, one can also release the program into the public domain.
- ⇒ The CC0 dedication¹³ allows you to waive your copyright to the extent permissible by law.



¹³https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/legalcode

Further Reading



- https://choosealicense.com/
- GNU's list of "Various Licenses and Comments about Them" https://www.gnu.org/licenses/license-list.html.en
- The OSI's ressources on licenses https://opensource.org/licenses
- GNU's guide to choosing a licences (interesting w.r.t. copyleft) https://www.gnu.org/licenses/license-recommendations.html