Mission Accomplished? HTTPS Security after DigiNotar







Johanna Amann* ICSI / LBL / Corelight Oliver Gasser* Technical University of Munich <u>Quirin Scheitle</u>^{*} Technical University of Munich Lexi Brent The University of Sydney Georg Carle Technical University of Munich Ralph Holz The University of Sydney

* Joint First Authorship

TLS/HTTPS Security Extensions

- Certificate Transparency
- HSTS (HTTP Strict Transport Security)
- HPKP (HTTP Public Key Pinning)
- SCSV (TLS Fallback Signaling Cipher Suite Value)
- CAA (Certificate Authority Authorization)
- DANE-TLSA (DNS Based Authentication of Named Entities)

Methodology

- Active & passive scans
- Active measurements from 2 continents
 - Largest domain-based TLS scan so far
 - More than 192 million domains
- Passive measurements on 3 continents
 - More than 2.4 billion observed TLS connections

TLS/HTTPS Security Extensions

- **Certificate Transparency** •
- HSTS (HTTP Strict Transport Security)
- HPKP (HTTP Public Key Pinning)
- SCSV (TLS Fallback Signaling Cipher Suite Value)
- CAA (Certificate Authority Authorization)
- DANE-TLSA (DNS Based Authentication of Named Entities)



Issues Certificates



Provides publicly auditable, append-only Log of certificates Also provides proof of inclusion



Verifies proof of inclusion

Certificate Transparency

Certificate Transparency - TLS Ext.







Certificate Transparency - x509 Precertificate



Webserver



Certificate Transparency - OCSP

Webserver

Certificate

SCT in Stapled OCSP Reply

SCT Statistics - Active

Domains we could connect to 55.

Domains with SCT 6.8

... via X509

... via TLS Ext.

... via OCSP

Sydney v4	Munich v4	Munich v6
55.7M	58.0M	5.1M
6.8M	6.8M	357K
6.7M	6.8M	344K
27.6K	27.2K	12.9K
180	188	3

SCT via TLS Ext. is Error-Prone

● ● W WOMAGAzine-ウーマガジン- ×

Secure https://womagazine.jp

105 Certificates, 91 Let's Encrypt

休日に足を運んで食べに行きたいっ♪ 最旬の「抹茶スイーツ」が食べられる お店をご紹介…

1699 Views

5月5日の注目記事

出会いは美BODYが 引き寄せる!?1ヶ月 10キロも可能な痩身 エステで、見事別人 寝坊しても大丈夫!

「10分」でかわいく なれる簡単メイク術

https://womagazine.jp

Non-Secure Origins

chrome-extension://nffaoalbilbmmfgbnbgp

Secure Origins

- https://www.google-analytics.com
- https://uh.nakanohito.jp
- https://pagead2.googlesyndication.com
- https://platform.twitter.com
- https://connect.facebook.net
- https://googleads.g.doubleclick.net
- Console What's New ×

Highlights from Chrome 59 update

CSS and JS code coverage Find unused CSS and JS with the new Coverage drawer.

Full-page screenshots Take a screenshot of the entire page, from the top of the viewport to the bottom.

Block requests Manually disable individual requests in the Network nanel

								Θ
						\$		0
						Optio	ns v	×
		lemory	Application	Security	Audits		• • •	×
ıppjih	vand From Valid Until Issuer	womag womag www.w Sat, 22 Fri, 21 Let's Er Open	azine.jp azine.jp omagazine.jp Apr 2017 17:0 Jul 2017 17:07: ncrypt Authority full certificate	7:00 GMT :00 GMT y X3 details	8			
	Certificate Transparer	ncy Google	'F sketeer' lo	g (TLS exter	nsion, Invalid si	gnature)		
	SCT	Google <u>Show f</u>	'Puee' log (TLS ull detail	S extension,	Invalid signatu	re)	E STREET	
	The security details abov	ve are fror	n the first inspe	ected respon	nse.	E MARKEN		

 \mathbf{X}

URL	Туре	Total Bytes	Unused Bytes	
/script_foot_closu	JS	385 963	255 341 00.2 %	
/jquery_ui-bundle.	JS	241 682	217 071 88.8 %	
ht/script_foot.js	JS	231 291	156 748 67.8 %	
https://develop/	CS	185 863	122 783 66.1 %	
/devsite-google-bi	CSS	129 754	104 360 80.4 %	
/rs=AA2Y/Th/hYE2	JS	138 015	88 170 71.1 %	
/cb=gapi.loaded_C	JS	122 065	B1 355 66.7 %	
h/jquery-bundle.js	JS	88 065	43 996 50.0 %	
/css?family=Robor	CSS	23 967	23 616 98.5 %	-
https://dl/dn.js	JS	31 249	20 270 64.9 %	
extensions reva	15	10.021	7 444 37 7 54	an

Invalid embedded SCT?

						0
				☆ 🕫	Þ	0
Translate				Options	~	×
ork Performance M	emory Application	Security	Audits		:	×
Subject	www.inii.no					
SAN	www.fhi.no					
	admin.fhi.no					
	Show more (4 total)					
Valid From	Thu, 09 Jun 2016 12:0	32:36 GMT				
Valid Until Sat. 09 Jun 2018 21:59:00 GMT						
Issuer	Buypass Class 3 CA 2	2				
	Open full certificate	details				
Certificate Transparency						
SCT	Google Aviator' log (E	Embedded ir	n certificate, Inv	valid signatu	ure)	
SCT	Venafi, g (Embedded in certificate, Invalid signature)					
SCT	Symante, log (Embed	lded in certif	icate, Invalid si	ignature)	ALL OF THE	
	Show full details		A CONTRACTOR			

The security details above are from the first inspected response.

TLS/HTTPS Security Extensions

- Certificate Transparency
- HSTS (HTTP Strict Transport Security)
- HPKP (HTTP Public Key Pinning)
- SCSV (TLS Fallback Signaling Cipher Suite Value)
- CAA (Certificate Authority Authorization)
- DANE-TLSA (DNS Based Authentication of Named Entities)

HSTS, HPKP: Much longer max-age values for HSTS

- HSTS: ~3.5% of domains
 - 0.2% send incorrect headers (misspellings, wrong attributes, ...)
- HPKP: ~0.02% of domains (6,181)
 - 41 invalid

TLS/HTTPS Security Extensions

- Certificate Transparency
- HSTS (HTTP Strict Transport Security)
- HPKP (HTTP Public Key Pinning)
- SCSV (TLS Fallback Signaling Cipher Suite Value)
- CAA (Certificate Authority Authorization)
- DANE-TLSA (DNS Based Authentication of Named Entities)

Automatically deployed when servers/libraries update

> 96% deployment

TLS/HTTPS Security Extensions

- Certificate Transparency
- HSTS (HTTP Strict Transport Security)
- HPKP (HTTP Public Key Pinning)
- SCSV (TLS Fallback Signaling Cipher Suite Value)
- **CAA (Certificate Authority Authorization)** •
- **DANE-TLSA (DNS Based Authentication of Named Entities)** •

Basically no deployment of CAA and TLSA as of April 2017

	SYD	MUC	Intersection	Top1M
CAA signed	$3,243~(100\%)\ 674~(21\%)$	$3,509~(100\%)\ 899~(26\%)$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,057 \ (100\%) \\ 621 \ \ (20\%) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {340} (100\%) \ {53} (16\%) \end{array}$
TLSA signed	$1,697~(100\%)\ 330~(78\%)$	1,364~(100%) 1,042~(76%)	1,246~(100%) 973~(78%)	$100 (100\%) \\ 89 (89\%)$

Deployment

Mechanism	Standard-	Deployment		Effort	Availability
	ized	Overall	Top 10K↓		Risk
SCSV	2015	49.2M	6789	none	low
CT-x509	2013	7.0M	1788	$none^2$	none
HSTS	2012	0.9M	349	low	low
CT-TLS	2013	27,759	171	high	none
HPKP	2015	6616	156	high	high
HPKP PL.	2012^{1}	479	150	high	high
HSTS PL.	2012^{1}	$23,\!539$	144	medium	medium
CAA	2013	3057	20	medium	low
TLSA	2012	973	3	high	medium
CT-OCSP	2013	191	0	low	none

1: Preloading list first added to Chrome in 2012

2: Requires deployment effort on CA side and a new site certificate.

Deployment

Mechanism	Standard-	Deployment		Effort	Availability
	ized	Overall	Top 10K↓		Risk
SCSV	2015	49.2M	6789	none	low
CT-x509	2013	7.0M	1788	$none^2$	none
HSTS	2012	0.9M	349	low	low
CT-TLS	2013	27,759	171	high	none
HPKP	2015	6616	156	high	high
HPKP PL.	2012^{1}	479	150	high	high
HSTS PL.	2012^{1}	$23,\!539$	144	medium	medium
\mathbf{CAA}	2013	3057	20	medium	low
TLSA	2012	973	3	high	medium
$\operatorname{CT-OCSP}$	2013	191	0	low	none

1: Preloading list first added to Chrome in 2012

2: Requires deployment effort on CA side and a new site certificate.

blink-dev > Intent To Deprecate And Remove: Public Key Pinning

31 posts by 14 authors 🕤 G+

Chris Palmer

Primary eng (and PM) emails

palmer@chromium.org, rsleevi@chromium.org, estark@chromium.org, agl@chromium.org

Summary

Deprecate support for public key pinning (PKP) in Chrome, and then remove it entirely.

This will first remove support for HTTP-based PKP ("dynamic pins"), in which the user-agent learns of pin-sets for hosts by HTTP headers. We would like to do this in Chrome 67, which is estimated to be released to Stable on 29 May 2018.

Finally, remove support for built-in PKP ("static pins") at a point in the future when Chrome requires Certificate Transparency for all publicly-trusted certificates (not just newly-issued publicly-trusted certificates). (We don't yet know when this will be.)

Summary

- Deployment status correlates with:
 - Configuration effort
 - Risk
 - Default deployment / settings work best
- Measurements from several sites have very similar results
 - One measurement location probably good enough in most cases

- PCAPs of active scans
- Active scan results, CT database dumps
- Analysis Scripts (primarily Jupyter notebooks)
- Datasets: https://mediatum.ub.tum.de/1377982
- Software:
 - goscanner (HTTPS scanner): https://github.com/tumi8/goscanner
 - extended Bro TLS support (in master): https://bro.org

Community Contributions

Backup

Your connection is not private

Attackers might be trying to steal your information from transponder.amazon.com (for example, passwords, messages, or credit cards). Learn more NET::ERR_CERTIFICATE_TRANSPARENCY_REQUIRED

Automatically send some <u>system information and page content</u> to Google to help detect dangerous apps and sites. Privacy policy

ADVANCED

Log Operators

8

Back to safety

Log Operators

Active

Symantec log (81.26%)

Google 'Pilot' log (79.9%)

Google 'Rocketeer' log (31.72%)

DigiCert Log Server (26.96%)

Google 'Aviator' log (25.67%)

Google 'Skydiver' log (8.32%)

Symantec VEGA log (3.98%)

StartCom CT log (1.49%)

WoSign ctlog (0.67%)

Passive

- Symantec log (62.78%)
- Google 'Rocketeer' log (58.6%)
- Google 'Pilot' log (58.48%)
- Google 'Icarus' log (14.37%)
- Google 'Aviator' log (9.39%)
- Vena log (7.47%)
- WoSign ctlog (4.64%)
- DigiCert Log Server (4.07%)
- Google 'Skydiver' log (1.7%)

SCT Statistics - Passive

	י ר	•
Ca	ITOr	nia
	•••••	

Time	4/4-5/2
Conns	2.6B
Conns with SCT	779M
in Cert	520M
in TLS	248M
in OCSP	156K
# v4 IPs	737K
# SCT v4 IPs	222K

Munich	Sydney
5/12-5/16	5/12-5/16
287M	196M
73M	58M
58M	44M
14M	14M
38K	31K
344K	226K
102K	66K